U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/645,852 Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

REMARKS

Claim 2 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1 and 3 - 21 are currently

pending in this patent application, claim 1 being an independent claim.

Claims 1, 8, 10, 13, 14 and 18 have been amended in order to more particularly point out,

and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the applicants regard as their invention. The

applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added. It is believed that this

Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated December 18, 2006.

As to the Examiner's outstanding objection to the Abstract of the Disclosure, as indicated

above, the applicants have amended the Abstract in order to avoid the noted informalities therein.

Accordingly, the withdrawal of the outstanding objection to the Abstract is in order, and is therefore

respectfully solicited.

The specification and claims have been objected to due to certain informalities, which the

Examiner deemed needed correction, as set forth in item 4, page 2 and item 5, page 3, respectively,

of the outstanding Action. The applicants respectfully request reconsideration of these objections.

-13-

Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

The applicants have amended the specification and claims in order to correct certain

informalities therein, including those pointed out by the Examiner. Accordingly, the withdrawal of

the outstanding objections to the specification and claims is in order, and is therefore respectfully

solicited.

Claims 1 - 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

the specific reasons set forth in item 7, page 4 of the outstanding Action. The applicants respectfully

request reconsideration of this rejection.

As indicated above, claims 1, 8, 10, 13, 14 and 18 have been amended in order to more

particularly point out, and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the applicants regard as their

invention, and in order to correct the language therein in line with the Examiner's suggestions.

Accordingly, the withdrawal of the outstanding indefiniteness rejection under 35 U.S.C.

§112, second paragraph, is in order, and is therefore respectfully solicited.

As to the merits of this case, the following rejections are set forth in the outstanding Action:

(1) claims 1 - 4, 6, 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by

Tobimatsu (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,965);

-14-

Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

(2) claims 10, 13, and 15 - 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on <u>Tobimatsu</u> in

view of Nojima (U.S. Patent No. 6,864,879);

(3) claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Tobimatsu in view of

Liu (U.S. Patent No. 6,618,329); and

(4) claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Tobimatsu in view of Nojima and

Liu.

The applicants respectfully request reconsideration of these rejections.

At this time, the applicants respectfully acknowledge, with appreciation, the Examiner's

pointing out that claims 5, 8, 9, 11, 14 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten in the manner

suggested in item 13, page 11 of the outstanding Action. It is believed that the subject claims have

been amended to overcome the outstanding indefiniteness rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second

paragraph. However, for the reasons more fully discussed below, to further amend the claims in the

manner suggested by the Examiner would unnecessarily narrow or limit the scope of the claims to

which the applicants are entitled.

The applicants' claimed invention, as now recited in independent claim 1, is directed to a

switch device, which includes a base part; an operation unit which is to be depressed; a support

section provided on the base part, the support section supporting a portion around an outer periphery

-15-

Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

of the operation unit in a manner that at least a part of the operation unit is movable toward and away

from the base part by the depressing and is biased to be away from the base; and a motion-detecting

section provided on at least one of the base part and the support section at a position corresponding

to the portion around the outer periphery of the operation unit. As now recited in claim 1, the

motion-detecting section detecting the motion of the portion around the outer periphery of the

operation unit toward the base part by the depressing. As now further set forth in claim 1, the

support section has a plurality of spring members provided at a position corresponding to the portion

around the outer periphery of the operation unit, the spring members applying a restoring force by

an elastic deformation, the restoring force of the spring members constantly biasing the at least a part

of the operation unit to be away from the base part.

Significant structural arrangements of the applicants' claimed invention, as now set forth in

claim 1, include the claimed support section having a plurality of spring members provided at a

position corresponding to the portion around the outer periphery of the operation unit, the spring

members applying a restoring force by an elastic deformation, the restoring force of the spring

members constantly biasing the at least a part of the operation unit to be away from the base part.

Tobimatsu, on the other hand, only teaches springs 108 and 112 located at the center of a jog

shuttle, and does not teach spring members provided at a position corresponding to a portion around

the outer periphery of an operation unit.

-16-

Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

Accordingly, since not all of the claimed elements or features of the applicants' claimed

invention, as now recited in claim 1 (and the claims dependent therefrom), are found in exactly the

same situation and united in the same way to perform the identical function in Tobimatsu's

apparatus, there can be no anticipation of the applicants' claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

based on the teachings of Tobimatsu.

In view of the above, the withdrawal of the outstanding anticipation rejection under 35

U.S.C. §102(e) based on Tobimatsu (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,965) is in order, and is therefore

respectfully solicited.

Furthermore, claim 1 has been amended so as to highlight that the claimed support section

includes a plurality of spring members provided at a position corresponding to the portion around

the outer periphery of the claimed operation unit. According to such claimed arrangements, the

responsiveness of the switch device is one that simulates a turntable, which is usually operated on

the portion around the outer periphery thereof. This is especially true when the diameter of the

(turntable-like) switch device is great and a low-stroke switch such as a membrane switch (see, line

25, page 13 of the applicants' specification) is employed. Moreover, the employment of the claimed

spring members on portion around the outer periphery facilitates disposing a display at the center of

the switch device.

-17-

Response filed March 19 2007

Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

As discussed above, the primary reference of Tobimatsu only teaches springs 108 and 112

located at the center of a jog shuttle, and does not disclose spring members provided at a position

corresponding to the portion around the outer periphery of an operation unit. The cited secondary

references similarly do not disclose such deficiencies or drawbacks in the teachings of the primary

reference, Tobimatsu, in failing to fully meet the applicants' claimed invention, as recited in claim

1 (and the claims dependent therefrom).

As such, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have found the applicants claimed

invention obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on the cited prior art references, singly or in

combionation.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, the claims, as

amended, are in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact the applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number

indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

-18-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/645,852 Response filed March 19 2007 Reply to OA dated December 18, 2006

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Mel R. Quintos Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 31,898

MRQ/lrj/ipc

Atty. Docket No. **031048** Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930 23850
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE